

- Date: October 25, 2000

**FEE TRANSMITTAL  
for FY 2001**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT \$ 1330.00

**Complete if Known**

Application Number	
Filing Date	Filed Herewith
First Named Inventor	Juergen Bauer, <i>et al.</i>
Group Art Unit	
Examiner Name	
Attorney Docket Number	510553.92128

**METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)**1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:

Deposit Account Number 17-0055

Deposit Account Name Quarles &amp; Brady LLP

☒ Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17☐ Applicant claims small entity status See 37 CFR 1.27☐ Payment Enclosed:☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other**FEE CALCULATION****BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity Fee Code	Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
401	710	201	355	Utility filing fee	710.00
106	320	206	160	Design filing fee	
107	490	207	245	Plant filing fee	
108	710	208	355	Reissue filing fee	
114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee	
SUBTOTAL (1)					(\$710.00)

**2. CLAIMS**

	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid
Total Claims 50	-20**= 30	X 18	= 540
Independent 4	-3**= 1	X 80	= 80
Multiple Dependent Claims			

\*\* or number previously paid, if greater, For reissues see below

Large Entity Fee Code	Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	80	202	40	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	270	204	135	Multiple dependent claim
109	80	209	40	**Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$620.00)				

**FEE CALCULATION (continued)****3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Fee Code	Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
112	920	112	920	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840	113	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
116	390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month	
117	890	217	445	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal	
120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application	
141	1,240	241	620	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application	
142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	440	243	220	Design issue fee	
144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126	240	126	240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
149	710	2496	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
179	710	270	355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
Other fee (specify)					
* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid					
SUBTOTAL (3)					(\$).00

**SUBMITTED BY**

Typed or Printed Name

Richard T. Roche

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

38,599

**Complete (if applicable)**

Telephone

414-277-5000

Signature

*Richard T. Roche*

Date

October 28, 2000

## ALLOY FOR BATTERY GRIDS

### Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 09/627,522 filed July 28, 2000, which is a continuation of Serial No. 09/337,830 filed June 22, 1999, now U.S. Patent No. 6,117,594, which is a continuation of Serial No. 09/105,162 filed June 26, 1998.

### Background Of The Invention

#### 1. Field of the Invention

The field of invention is lead-acid storage batteries, and more particularly, a grid alloy composition composed of lead, greater than 0.5% tin, and minimum amounts of calcium and silver.

#### 2. Description of the Related Art

The general requirements for lead/acid batteries, alloys for use in grids, all technologies (bookmold, expanded, stamped, woven and composite) are as follows: (1) mechanical strength sufficient for the technology, and specifically with sufficient hardening rate and hardness to allow battery production with grids of these alloys with state-of-the-art production technology; (2) very good corrosion resistance, especially at the high under-the-hood temperature of modern cars; (3) grids free from casting defects such as hot cracks; (4) stability of the microstructure (overaging, softening); (5) stability of grid material against releasing components in the electrolyte which degrades primary cell functioning; (6) rechargeability; and (7) recycling capability.

Alloys of the Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag system or the Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag/Al system can meet all these requirements, but not all alloy compositions can be used in practice. The amounts of calcium, tin, silver and aluminum need to be selected according to some rules. The principal impact of the basic alloy elements are:

Calcium: Primary hardening agent by different calcium based precipitation reactions. However, too much calcium will cause overaging and unacceptable corrosion rate. Calcium content has to be balanced to get sufficient hardening

and tolerable corrosion rate. Without additional alloying agents, alloys without calcium or with very low calcium (<0.06%) are very soft and only usable with special production technologies.

Tin: Adds new precipitation reactions leading to  $(\text{Pb},\text{Sn})_3\text{Ca}$  or  $\text{Sn}_3\text{Ca}$ .

Homogeneous  $\text{Sn}_3\text{Ca}$  precipitate is the dominant intermetallic product when the Sn:Ca ratio is greater than 9:1 and provides better corrosion resistance than the discontinuous  $(\text{Pb},\text{Sn})_3\text{Ca}$  precipitate which is dominant at lower Sn:Ca ratios.

(References: German patent DE2758940 of Assmann 1979; Assmann and Borchers, Z. Metallkunde 69 (1978), pages 43-49; Bouirden, Hilger, and Hertz, J. Power Sources 33, (1991), pages 27-50; Prengaman 7th Int. Lead Conf. Pb '80; Power Sources 76, 1997, 267-278). Also, tin improves rechargability by increasing the conductivity of the corrosion layer. (References: H. Giess in Proc. Symp. Advances in Lead Acid Batteries 84-14, Electrochem. Soc. 1984; Miraglio et. al., J. Power Sources 53, 1995, 53-60); and stabilizes a wrought microstructure. (Reference: US patent no. 3,953,244).

Aluminum: Reduces calcium loss in melt pots and acts as a grain refiner.

Silver: Increases mechanical strength, especially creep strength of grain boundaries (Prengaman); increases hardening rates (Assmann), which makes low or medium calcium alloys a possible option in terms of productivity; increases hot cracking as described by Gene Valeriote, (1995 6th Asian Battery Conference); and decreases oxygen overpotential.

Only some combinations Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag are used for the special needs of battery components:

1. Pb-Ca binary: calcium 0.08-0.12%; fast hardening, but fast overaging and corresponding high corrosion rate. Used in the form of book mold grids, drum cast strip or wrought strip for negatives.

2. High calcium, low tin: Typical calcium 0.08%, tin 0.3%; In use worldwide for book mold grids. Fast hardening, but overaging caused by discontinuous precipitation reaction resulting in (local) softening and relatively high corrosion rate. Easy to handle in production, but does not meet today's

service life expectations under high temperature, high stress conditions, especially for thin SLI (starting, lighting, ignition) battery grids.

3. High calcium, high tin: calcium 0.06-0.10%, tin 0.8-1.5%, Sn:Ca>9:1 with the preferred homogenous  $\text{Sn}_3\text{Ca}$  precipitation reactions. Microstructure with better stability compared to low tin. Corrosion rates still significantly higher than those for calcium free lead alloys.

4. Low calcium, low to medium tin: 0.025-0.06% calcium, 0.3-0.5% tin, according to the rule Sn:Ca>9:1 with preferred  $\text{Sn}_3\text{Ca}$  precipitation. Improved corrosion resistance, but reduced hardness and stiffness of grids hinder use for thin SLI grids, but in use for thick industrial battery grids. Use in thin grids especially expanded metal only with the addition of greater than 150 ppm silver (preferred greater than 200 ppm silver) is required to improve handling for thin SLI grids. This is indicated in the subsequently referred to U.S. patents 5,298,350, 5,434,025, 5,691,087 and 5,874,186.

5. Pb-Sn binary: Calcium free Pb-Sn alloys used for strap material. Generally too soft to be suitable for conventional SLI plate making technologies. Has been used in large industrial batteries and in spiral wound configurations.

In the present SLI application, the ultimate life of a lead acid battery is largely determined by the positive grid alloy. Several factors contribute to making the positive grid the life limiting component of the battery: (1) highly oxidizing potential created by the presence of the positive active material and sulfuric acid, (2) high temperatures accelerating the grid oxidation due to the battery being enclosed in a confined space in close proximity to the internal combustion engine, (3) relatively poor conductivity of the active material placing most of the current carrying burden on the Pb grid member, and (4) relatively poor match of the crystal structure of the active material compared to the Pb grid to which it must be in electrical contact. For this reason, the alloy of the positive grid has been the subject of a large body of literature and patents.

Today, most SLI positive grids are made of Pb/Ca/Sn or Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag alloys. These alloys have won favor over the traditional Pb/Sb alloys in the market place due to their lower water loss and are often referred to as

"maintenance free". The Pb/Ca/Sn ternary alloy has been studied extensively. At this point, it is clear that the overall corrosion rate for this ternary alloy is controlled by two key factors: (1) calcium concentration, and (2) the ratio of the Sn/Ca concentration in the alloy. Keeping the calcium concentration as low as possible significantly reduces the corrosion rate, while maintaining the tin to calcium concentration ratio greater than 9:1 reduces the amount of  $Pb_3Ca$  intermetallic in favor of  $Sn_3Ca$  and reduces the tendency for discontinuous precipitation. As a result, one of the most successful strategies for improving the positive grid alloy was to use as little calcium as necessary to produce an alloy which age hardened sufficiently fast to an adequate hardness to survive the manufacturing process without damage. Following this approach, the corrosion rate was reduced to the lowest practical limit while simultaneously reducing the amount of expensive tin necessary to keep a favorable Sn/Ca ratio. It has been found that the composition of positive grid alloys typically used in SLI batteries prior to 1993, the practical range for calcium was 0.07% to 0.10% Ca with associated tin ranges from 0.6% to 1.3% Sn.

A smaller body of work has explored some aspects of the Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag quaternary alloy system with implications for positive battery grid applications (German patent DE2758940 of Assmann, 1979). More recently, in U.S. patents 5,298,350 and 5,434,025, a lead alloy is described containing 0.3-0.7% tin and 0.015-0.045% silver. U.S. patent 5,691,087 also discloses a similar composition. However, the amount of tin is 0.3 to 0.9% with the amount of silver being the same as in the previously discussed patents, i.e., 0.015 to 0.045%.

The most important advantage gained from addition of silver to the Pb/Ca/Sn alloy has been the ability to increase the age hardening rate of the alloy when the calcium level is 0.06% or less such that thin SLI grids can be manufactured using conventional processing equipment after an acceptable heat treatment period. Unfortunately, most of the work reported to date, including in the file of U.S. patent no. 5,298,350 a declaration by Rao, has drawn conclusions from data on common ternary Pb/Ca/Sn alloys doped with various levels of silver. Based on the best data available at the time, the previously referred to patents

concerning the Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag alloy disclosed that positive grid alloys having less than 0.015% silver would possess only marginal mechanical properties even after heat treatment and positive grid alloys having tin in excess of 0.7% would have unacceptable service life.

5 It is one of the important discoveries of the present work that by using tin at a relatively high level, as well as a high ratio of tin to calcium, the use of silver is not a major factor in such features as rapid hardening for manufacturability, hardness and low corrosion rate for extended service life. Silver is expected to improve creep resistance, but the level can and must be restricted to about 0.02%  
10 or less by weight to obtain good quality grids. This finding represents an unexpected and unreported interaction of tin and silver in this alloy system. As a direct result of this interaction, the optimum tin and silver composition is placed precisely at levels previously reported to be unacceptable for good service life of a lead acid battery (see U.S. patent no. 5,298,350).

#### 15 Summary Of The Invention

In one aspect, the invention provides a lead-acid cell comprising a container with at least one positive plate and a negative plate disposed within the container. A separator is disposed within the container and separates the positive and negative plates. An electrolyte is in contact with the separator and the plates.  
20 The positive plate includes a grid supporting structure having a layer of active material pasted thereto. The grid supporting structure is composed of a lead-based alloy including lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%, the percentages being  
25 based upon the total weight of the alloy.

In another aspect, the tin content of the alloy is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%, and in yet another aspect, the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.

In one form, the silver content of the alloy is in the range of greater than 0  
30 to about 0.015%. In another form, the silver content of the alloy is in the range of

about 0.005% to about 0.015%. In yet another form, the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.

In another aspect, the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1. In yet another aspect, the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

5 In yet another form, calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1. In still another form, the calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

10 In still another form, the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%, and preferably in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

In still another aspect, the container, positive and negative plates and the separator comprise an automotive battery.

15 In yet still another aspect, a grid supporting structure with the previously described alloy can be employed in various types of lead-acid batteries.

The advantages of the invention therefore include: (a) providing an alloy for a grid supporting structure in a battery cell wherein the grid has a rapid hardening for manufacturability; (b) providing an alloy of the foregoing type which has excellent hardness; (c) providing an alloy of the foregoing type which has low corrosion rate for extended service life; (d) providing an alloy of the foregoing type which has improved casting quality with minimum hot cracking susceptibility; and (e) providing an alloy of the foregoing type which is particularly suited for use in a lead acid battery.

25 The foregoing and other aspects and advantages of the invention will appear from the following description. In the description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which there is shown by way of illustration certain embodiments of the invention. Such embodiments do not necessarily represent the full scope of the invention. Therefore, reference is made to the claims herein for interpreting the scope of the invention.



### Brief Description Of The Drawings

Figures 1-5 are charts illustrating certain test results of alloys using various amounts of tin and silver.

Figure 6 is a chart illustrating certain critical parameters for the alloy of this invention.

Figure 7 is a diagrammatic view of process for producing a supporting grid from the alloy of this invention.

Figure 8 is an elevational view of a supporting grid made from the alloy of this invention.

Figure 9 is a perspective view of a typical battery employing the supporting grid of this invention.

Figures 10-12 are further charts illustrating test results of lead alloys using various amounts of tin and silver.

### Detailed Description

Alloy selection according to this invention provides a set of rules describing a range of calcium, tin and silver content which gives an optimum balance between the need to get hardening and strength by calcium-based precipitation reactions and the need to reduce the corrosion-enhancing calcium content as far as possible.

The impact of calcium on the corrosion weight loss is dramatic. This is known for high calcium alloys (0.08-0.13%) but is true even at low calcium content. Tests reveal the results of overcharge weight-loss measurements for 0 to 0.08 wt% calcium. These would favor the use of very low calcium contents.

But the use of calcium <0.06%, especially for the thin SLI-positive grids and even with tin according to the rule  $\text{Sn:Ca} > 9:1$ , is not recommended in practice because the achievable strength and hardening rates do not meet the need to withstand the stresses during production of plates and during the service life of batteries.

The only known way to use the advantage of low calcium (<0.06%) is the addition of remarkable amounts of silver as disclosed in the previously referred to U.S. patents 5,298,350, 5,434,025, 5,691,087 and 5,874,186 (200-600 ppm,

preferably 300-450 ppm). These patents claim a narrow range for tin: 0.3-0.7 (-0.9 for sealed) and silver (200 (150) - 600 ppm) because they report that lower silver or higher tin both turned out to yield unacceptable grid stability or service life. Especially 0.015% or lower silver content is not possible because grids are not producible with state-of-the-art technologies.

Surprisingly, it has been found as one aspect of the present invention that calcium <0.06% is possible in state-of-the-art SLI battery grids without using that high silver content if the tin content is balanced in the right way. Tests were conducted to show the impact of tin for calcium equal to 0.04% on the final hardness for silver additions from 0 to 0.030%. The maximum hardness is achieved for Sn:Ca > 20:1. The maximum hardness with and without silver is almost 30% higher than that in alloys with Sn:Ca at approximately 12:1. This means that the mechanical strength of alloys with 0.06% calcium or more is achievable with a low calcium content like 0.04% if the tin concentration in the microstructure is high enough. Compared to this bonus of high tin content, the additional strengthening by adding silver is negligible.

Even more dramatic is the advantage of increased tin contents in terms of hardening rate. Tests were conducted to show the time needed to get 90% of the maximum hardness at 180°F heat treatment. It was very surprising that the maximum time, i.e., the slowest hardening rate, is needed exactly around the state-of-the-art tin to calcium ratio of 12 to 1.

But these data can easily explain the claimed need for high silver contents in low calcium alloys (<0.06% Ca). Silver is accelerating the hardening rate by a factor of 2 if tin to calcium is in the 12 to 1 range. This explains the reason Assmann and the referred to U.S. patents are claiming the positive effect of silver in Pb/Ca/Sn alloys in that range.

It has been found by the inventors that the use of low calcium alloys without the need to add large amounts of silver is possible in practice if there is a sufficient "surplus" amount of tin available for the hardening reactions. These "low calcium-high tin" alloys are favorable in terms of balancing corrosion resistance and strength and do meet the needs of present production

technologies. Silver addition is expected to give additional advantage by increasing creep resistance for thin grids subjected to high temperature service. The silver content should be about 0.02% or less silver by weight to produce good quality grids.

5           The second aspect of this invention is the adaption of the usual Sn:Ca rules for low calcium alloys. The known composition rules like Sn:Ca > 9:1 to avoid the discontinuous Pb<sub>3</sub>Ca precipitation do not meet the practical need for high strength and fast hardening if calcium is reduced to levels around 0.04% and lower. These ratios must be adjusted to the lower calcium content to make the  
10           necessary surplus of tin available in the lattice. That means more tin is needed as the calcium is reduced to build up the intermetallic precipitates necessary to get the required strengthening effect from the smaller amount of calcium. As a result, the optimum ratio of tin to calcium will greatly exceed the 9:1 and even the preferred 12:1 previously reported. The state-of-the-art rules are teaching that  
15           reducing the amount of tin when reducing the amount of calcium is acceptable as long as the ratio tin to calcium is kept constant. Results show that this strategy is wrong because the amount of hardening precipitates is too greatly reduced to get sufficient strength and hardening rate on the way. The new rule is: the tin content must be increased, instead of reduced, if calcium is reduced. The  
20           previously referred to hardening data shows that there is in fact a critical tin to calcium ratio for that specific calcium content. Full hardness by full use of the calcium atoms diluted in the crystal lattice can be achieved only if there are enough tin atoms around. The less calcium there is, the more tin is needed to get the full hardening action.

25           The third aspect found is that less silver is necessary in low calcium alloys if the tin concentration is above the critical value. State-of-the-art patents are teaching that silver is essential for these types of alloys and is critical for both good service life and acceptable grid quality. Corrosion rate measurements made under high temperature overcharge conditions show that if the reduction of silver  
30           under the critical limit of 150-200 ppm described in the previously referred to U.S. patents will reduce the corrosion resistance for alloys following the new tin to

calcium rule. The surprising result was that alloys in the "low calcium-high tin" area show, in fact, a slight increase in corrosion rate with increasing silver content.

Similar to the need to balance the positive effect of calcium in terms of strength with the negative effect of increased corrosion rate, there is the need to limit silver to get superior service life if corrosion of the positive grid is the limiting factor. Test results show that a reduced silver content (50 - 200 ppm) is favorable in terms of corrosion for low calcium high tin alloys. As long as the tin to calcium ratio is above the critical limit to get full hardness, the choice of whether or not adding silver is driven only by the need to get some additional creep resistance (silver at grain boundaries).

Aluminum might be added according to the needs of the grid production technology (calcium loss) and for achieving a fine grained structure.

The hardening rate and maximum hardness are both important for a grid alloy. Increasing the age hardening rate of an alloy facilitates high rate, high volume battery production by shortening the time required for the alloy to achieve acceptable strength for processing, thereby keeping grid inventories acceptably low. Increasing the maximum hardness of the alloy improves its ability to be processed and assembled into the final battery without significant damage.

Hardness can also improve the plates durability and thereby reduce the risk of vibration damage in service. Increasing both the hardening rate and maximum hardness without sacrificing corrosion resistance tends toward improving overall battery quality.

In addition to the maximum hardness of the grid alloy, automotive battery life is also impacted by corrosion of the grid structure of the positive plate. Since the average driver drives approximately 70 minutes a day, approximately 5% of the time the battery experiences the charging voltage of the alternator (overcharge voltage typically occurs). Most importantly, this charging voltage acts in conjunction with the rather high under hood temperatures of modern vehicles during operation. The remaining 95% of the time the battery is at its rest voltage (open circuit). While the open circuit potential begins at the high operating

temperature of the vehicle, it quickly begins to cool towards the ambient air temperature. These two conditions of the positive grid are simulated in accelerated lab corrosion tests to evaluate the positive grids alloys for their potential to extend battery life. Reasonable correlation between accelerated lab corrosion tests and follow up studies of battery life at 167°F has been demonstrated.

Taken together, the above parameters provide a good basis for evaluating the quality of a positive grid alloy for a lead acid battery. In order to evaluate the optimum tin and silver levels for manufacturability and for extending life of the lead acid battery, a statistically designed experiment with 4 levels of tin and silver was completed for the purpose of generating a response surface for each of the important parameters. The alloys included in the test are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Alloy Composition in Designed Studies

Tin(%) Nominal	Silver(%) Nominal	Tin (%) Actual	Abs. Dev. From Nom. (% Sn)	Silver (%) Actual	Abs. Dev. From Nom. (% Ag)
0.300	0.000	0.336	0.036	0.000	0.000
0.300	0.030	0.258	(0.042)	0.033	0.003
0.300	0.070	0.295	(0.005)	0.077	0.007
0.300	0.100	0.289	(0.011)	0.104	0.004
0.550	0.000	0.544	(0.006)	0.000	0.000
0.550	0.030	0.558	0.008	0.034	0.004
0.550	0.070	0.552	0.009	0.071	0.001
0.550	0.100	0.538	(0.012)	0.103	0.003
0.680	0.050	0.723	0.043	0.050	0.000
0.800	0.000	0.799	(0.001)	0.000	0.000
0.800	0.030	0.795	(0.005)	0.028	(0.002)
0.800	0.070	0.748	(0.052)	0.100	(0.001)
1.050	0.000	1.030	(0.020)	0.000	0.000
1.050	0.030	1.164	0.114	0.032	0.002
1.050	0.070	1.121	0.071	0.070	0.000
1.050	0.100	1.088	0.038	0.092	(0.008)

## I. Age Hardening Studies

Tests to determine the impact of the tin and silver concentration on the rate of age hardening and maximum hardness were completed on all of the alloys listed in Table 1. Alloys were cast in a gravity cast mold under conditions which produce a cast microstructure which mimics the microstructure of the gravity cast grid. The Pb pot temperature was maintained at 400°C and the mold temperature was maintained at 400°F. Samples of the cast material were mounted in epoxy. Following curing of the epoxy mounts, typically 18 to 20 hours, the samples were stored at 180°F and removed periodically for Vickers hardness determination. Samples typically hardened rapidly for the first 10 to 50 hours at 180°F and then leveled off at a maximum hardness typically within 100 hours at 180°F. Typical examples of the hardness as a function of time at 180°F are shown in the chart of Figure 1.

The data shown in Figure 1 is well described in an equation of the form:

$$\text{Hardness} = H_0 + A(1 - e^{-Qt}) \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

For each alloy, the three adjustable parameters,  $H_0$ ,  $A$ , and  $Q$ , were estimated and used to calculate the maximum hardness and the time required to reach 90% of the maximum hardness according to:

$$\text{Maximum Hardness} = H_0 + A \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

and

$$\text{Time to 90\% Maximum Hardness} = (1/Q)(2.303 - \ln [1 + (H_0/A)]) \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

Analysis of the Maximum Hardness ( $H_{\max}$ ) and the Time to 90% Maximum Hardness ( $T_{90}$ ) for each of the alloys of Table 1 is shown in Table 2. The maximum hardness of the alloy depends most strongly on the tin concentration alone. The most significant increase in the maximum hardness occurred when the tin was increased from 0.55% to 0.80%. This increase in tin resulted in an average increase in hardness from 18 to 22 DPH (Diamond Pyramid Hardness). Further increasing the tin to 1.05% did not significantly improve the hardness further. Figure 2 clearly illustrates these trends. The hardening rate of the alloy with 0.55% tin was significantly increased by the addition of silver. When the tin

concentration was at 0.80%, the hardening rate was as good without any silver as the 0.55% tin with silver. This trend is clearly seen in Figure 3.

Table 2. Maximum Hardness and Hardening Rate.

Tin (%) Nominal	Silver (%) Nominal	Maximum Hardness (DPH)	Time to 90% Maximum Hardness (Hours)
0.300	0.000	15.5	20.0
0.300	0.030	16.2	5.6
0.300	0.070	16.9	17.9
0.300	0.100	13.4	33.9
0.550	0.000	16.3	33.0
0.550	0.030	18.0	19.0
0.550	0.070	18.4	12.0
0.550	0.100	18.9	13.0
0.680	0.050	20.4	12.4
0.800	0.000	20.9	11.7
0.800	0.030	21.5	11.3
0.800	0.070	22	7.8
0.800	0.100	22.1	7.4
1.050	0.000	20.8	12.4
1.050	0.030	22.5	5.9
1.050	0.070	22.6	7.9
1.050	0.100	20.8	10.2

## II. Castability Study

From a battery producers view, it is essential to ensure good grid quality while maintaining acceptable production rates. In particular, cast grids must be free of porosity, cracks, and similar casting defects. Valeriot (Valeriot, 6th Asian Battery Conference proceedings) was the first to mention the increasing tendency for hot cracking in Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag alloys because silver increases the

freezing range of the alloys. In fact, the propensity for hot cracking required the development of a new type of strip caster to manufacture strips of the alloys claimed in the previously referred to patents (U.S. patents 5,298,350, 5,434,025, 5,691,087 and 5,874,186).

5 A series of gravity cast tests were completed to examine the propensity of the alloy of the present invention for similar casting defects using state-of-the-art book mold gravity casting technology. Table 3 below gives as an example the results of increasing the silver content in one of the preferred alloys of the present invention with calcium about 0.04% and tin about 0.9% (Sn:Ca ratio of about  
10 22:1) on the grid quality.

Table 3. Cast Grid Quality Evaluation.

Alloy	Sn % (Actual)	Ag % (Actual)	Ca % (Actual)	Hot Cracking / Grid Quality
1	0.947	0.0030	0.040	no hot cracks/excellent
2	0.938	0.0124	0.039	no hot cracks/excellent
3	0.849	0.0219	0.039	first hot cracks/marginal
4	0.856	0.0375	0.039	hot cracks/not acceptable
5	0.0665	0.0650	0.044	hot cracks/not acceptable

15 Using the same typical SLI grid geometry and the casting conditions, there is an increasing tendency for hot cracking as the silver is systematically increased. For the tin content of the present invention, it is clear that utilizing a silver content of 200 ppm or below results in good to excellent grid quality. The first hot cracks were found for silver around 220 ppm. The preferred silver content of the  
20 previously referred to patents turned out to yield an unacceptable grid quality. This finding may explain one of the reasons for the limit on tin in the recent Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag patents.

25 Alloy 5 in Table 3 was used to check if a very high silver level is castable if the high tin content is reduced below the 0.8% limit. Gravity casting this alloy



resulted in very severe hot cracking and is not usable with today's gravity cast technology.

Another series of gravity cast tests were performed to demonstrate that the alloy of the present invention provides increased resistance to hot cracking when  
5 casted, compared to the lead alloys of the previously referred to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,874,186 and 5,691,087. First, seven alloys A-G having the compositions listed in Table 3A were prepared.

TABLE 3A.

10	Alloy	Tin (Wt. %)	Calcium (Wt. %)	Silver (Wt. %)	Lead (Wt. %)
	A	0.8%	0.04%	0.0120%	Balance
	B	0.8%	0.04%	0.0130%	Balance
	C	0.8%	0.04%	0.0144%	Balance
	D	0.8%	0.04%	0.0174%	Balance
15	E	0.8%	0.04%	0.0197%	Balance
	F	0.8%	0.04%	0.0220%	Balance
	G	0.8%	0.04%	0.0295%	Balance

20 Second, the battery alloys A-G were gravity cast using state-of-the-art book mold gravity casting technology. After casting, the grids were allowed to age harden. The age hardened grids were then rolled over a cylinder of 25 millimeter diameter and examined for cracks after the bending. The percentage of grids with cracks after bending was tabulated for each of Alloys A-G. The results of the grid  
25 cracking tests were plotted on the graph shown in Figure 10. Upon analysis of Figure 10, it can be seen that at silver levels below 0.0220%, there is an unexpected decrease in the cracking of the alloy when casted. These results further demonstrate the improved castability of the alloy of the present invention.

30 Yet another series of gravity cast tests were performed to demonstrate that the alloy of the present invention provides increased resistance to hot cracking

when casted, compared to the lead alloys of the previously referred to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,874,186 and 5,691,087. First, seven alloys H-N having the compositions listed in Table 3B were prepared.

TABLE 3B.

Alloy	Tin (Wt. %)	Calcium (Wt. %)	Silver (Wt. %)	Lead (Wt. %)
H	1.1%	0.04%	0.0072%	Balance
I	1.1%	0.04%	0.0102%	Balance
J	1.1%	0.04%	0.0125%	Balance
K	1.1%	0.04%	0.0152%	Balance
L	1.1%	0.04%	0.0174%	Balance
M	1.1%	0.04%	0.0194%	Balance
N	1.1%	0.04%	0.0228%	Balance

Second, the battery alloys H-N were gravity cast using state-of-the-art book mold gravity casting technology. After casting, the grids were allowed to age harden. The age hardened grids were then rolled over a cylinder of 50 millimeter diameter and examined for cracks after the bending. The percentage of grids with cracks after bending was tabulated for each of Alloys H-N. The results of the grid cracking tests were plotted on the graph shown in Figure 11. Upon analysis of Figure 11, it can be seen that at silver levels below 0.0228%, there is an unexpected decrease in the cracking of the alloy when casted. These results further demonstrate the improved castability of the alloy of the present invention.

### III. Corrosion Studies

Each of the alloys of Table 1 were corroded at 60°C for five days at a simulated charging voltage (1.35 V vs. Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and a simulated open circuit voltage (1.14 V vs. Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). These results are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. Silver appears to have a small negative impact on the overcharge corrosion regardless of the tin content. For levels of tin of 0.55% and higher, silver appears

to have no impact on the open circuit corrosion rate. At the lowest level of tin (0.30% Sn), silver appears to reduce the extent of open circuit corrosion. Evidence from other studies has shown that discontinuous precipitation is reduced by addition of silver. Hence, the improvement in the open circuit corrosion rate may be due to the reduction in the  $Pb_3Ca$  content. This would not be expected to be important for the higher tin content alloys which favors the  $Sn_3Ca$  precipitate where our data shown no beneficial effect of silver.

Additional corrosion tests were performed to determine the intergranular corrosion rate of a grid wire formed using an alloy in accordance with the present invention. First, three alloys were prepared. Alloy 1 included 0.04% calcium, 0.6% tin, 0.010% silver and the balance lead. Alloy 2 included 0.04% calcium, 0.8% tin, 0.010% silver and the balance lead. Alloy 3 included 0.04% calcium, 0.9% tin, 0.010% silver and the balance lead. The alloys were cast in a gravity cast mold under conditions which produce a cast microstructure which mimics the microstructure of the gravity cast grid. Samples of the cast material were then subjected to a corrosion test in which changes in grid resistance over time were measured as a means to determine intergranular corrosion. The results of these corrosion tests are shown in Figure 12. This data demonstrates that an improvement in the intergranular corrosion rate of the grid wire at lower tin levels can be attained while retaining the benefits of the improved castability associated with the lower silver levels. Hence, reducing tin levels to lower values of greater than about 0.5% is expected to improve corrosion grid life, while reducing somewhat the hardening rate and the ultimate hardness of the alloy. The lower tin range is expected to be optimized for corrosion grid life while maintaining the improved castability of the grid associated with the low silver level. Hence, tin levels of greater than about 0.5% produce an acceptable alloy.

The foregoing tests demonstrate that lowering the silver level in the  $Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag$  alloy significantly improves the castability of the grid by reducing the tendency of hot cracking. In addition, the data shows that using higher tin values improves the hardening rate and ultimate hardness of the alloy which is beneficial in manufacturing. The same data showed that increasing either tin or silver also

increased the corrosion rate of alloy. Hence, it is possible to select lower values of silver (obtaining the benefit of castability) and increase tin (obtaining the benefits of manufacturability) in precisely the right proportions to maintain the same corrosion rate.

5           The high tin / low silver range provide the best combination of properties for extended positive grid life in today's SLI battery applications. All of the hardness data and the corrosion data were statistically optimized to identify the positive grid alloy which provides the best overall characteristics and thereby provides the best trade off of manufacturability and product life. Results show  
10       that to simultaneously maintain: (a) corrosion rate of the alloy to  $<2.1 \text{ mg Pb/cm}^2$ , (b) the hardness above 21 DPH, and (c) hardening rate below 12 hours at  $180^\circ\text{F}$  to 90% of maximum hardness, the tin must be above 0.87% and the silver below 0.0165%. This region is clearly shown in Figure 6. Also, from Figure 6 it is clear that raising the tin level further and diminishing the silver level can preserve the  
15       advantageous combination of properties in the alloy. Hence, it is preferred that silver is 0.02% or less and the tin 0.8% or higher.

Curve CO shows the points of a corrosion rate of  $2.1 \text{ mg Pb/cm}^2$ . Below this curve the corrosion rate is less depicted by  $\text{CO}^+$  in Figure 6. The connection point of the CO-line with the abscissa lies at 1.04% tin. The actual tin  
20       concentrations are within the range of 0.258% and 1.164% at the right border of the drawing.

The curve HR shows the points where a hardening rate of 12 hours to reach 90% maximum hardness is needed. To the right of this curve depicted by  $\text{MH}^+$  are regions with faster hardening rate. The curve MH shows the points of a  
25       maximum hardness of 21 DPH. On the right side of this curves lies the regions ( $\text{MH}^+$ ) where better maximum hardness can be reached.

To achieve good results in all these three fields, namely in corrosion, hardening rate and maximum hardness, the tin, calcium and silver contents of the alloy should be selected within certain ranges. The alloy should be chosen in a  
30       region where the tin content is greater than about 0.5%. Preferably, the tin content is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%. Even

more preferably, the tin content is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%. Most preferred tin contents are in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%. The silver content of the alloy should be in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%. Preferably, the silver content should be in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%. Most preferably, the silver contents are in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%. To avoid hot cracking sensibility (see results in Table 3), it is even more preferred to use low silver contents in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%. The calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1. Preferably, the calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1. Most preferably, the calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1. The calcium content of the alloy can also be selected such that calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1. More preferably, the calcium content of the alloy can be selected such that the calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1. Optionally, the alloy may include aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%, and preferably in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

The alloys of the present invention may be produced in the conventional manner by adding the constituents to molten lead in the prescribed amounts and mixing to obtain a homogenous melt. In one example embodiment, 0.95% tin, 0.010% silver, 0.045% calcium and 0.015% aluminum are added to molten lead. The percentages are based upon the total weight of the lead alloy. A preferred method for casting the alloy of this invention, as well as pasting the resulting grid, is shown in Figure 7. A lead pot 10 is shown with a vent 11. The temperature in the lead pot 11 should be maintained between 910°F and 1010°F. Besides the specified calcium, tin and silver ranges, up to 300 ppm aluminum can be added to prevent excessive loss of calcium during processing. The alloy is poured into a bookmold 12 of a gravity grid casting machine where the mold is maintained

between 360°F and 420°F. Grids of the specified alloy are cast at comparable rates to other alloys in common use in the industry.

The resulting cast grid or grid supporting structure 14 is positioned on conveyor 13 which is moved by rollers 15. They are stacked as shown at 17 and maintained on conveyor by the lugs 18. The grids are then advanced to a grid feeder 20 having dogs 21 which move the grids 14 onto conveyor 22 which positions them under a paste hopper 23 where the usual positive paste is applied. After pasting, the pasted grids 25 are stacked as shown at 26.

The grid 14 is shown in an enlarged view in Figure 8 with the typical lug 18. It is utilized in a typical maintenance free SLI lead-acid battery as shown at 30 in Figure 9. The battery includes the usual container 32 with the plates 25 joined together by the strap 38. The plates have the separators 40 there between and are joined together with alternate negative and positive plates into element 42. The battery also includes the usual cover 46 with a gang vent caps 48 and the carrying strap 50. There are also the standard terminals 44 and electrolyte contacting the plates and separators.

While specific amounts of tin, silver, calcium and aluminum have been previously described as a preferred alloy, these amounts can vary as follows, for example:

Tin: The tin content should be greater than about 0.5%. Preferably, the tin content is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%. Even more preferably, the tin content is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%. Most preferred tin contents are in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.

Silver: The silver content of the alloy should be in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%. Preferably, the silver content should be in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%. Most preferably, the silver contents are in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%. It is even more preferred to use low silver contents in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.

Calcium: The calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1. Preferably, the calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than

15:1. Most preferably, the calcium content of the alloy should be selected such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1. The calcium content of the alloy can also be selected such that calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1. More preferably, the calcium content of the alloy can be selected such that the calcium is present in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055%, and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

Aluminum: Optionally, the alloy may include aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%, and preferably in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

While the grid supporting structure 14 has been shown in conjunction with a maintenance free battery 30, it can also be utilized in a sealed battery wherein an electrolyte is completely absorbed in the separator.

#### Industrial Applicability

An alloy composition is provided for positive grid in a SLI battery which alloy composition affords rapid hardening for manufacturability, improved hardness and low corrosion rate for extended service life, and control of costs of manufacturing.

We claim:

1. A lead-acid cell comprising a container, a positive plate and a negative plate disposed within the container, a separator disposed within the container and separating the positive and negative plates, the positive plate comprising a grid supporting structure having a layer of active material pasted thereto, the grid supporting structure comprising an alloy consisting essentially of lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%, the percentages being based upon the total weight of the alloy.
2. The cell of claim 1 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%.
3. The cell of claim 1 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%.
4. The cell of claim 1 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.
5. The cell of claim 1 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%.
6. The cell of claim 1 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%.
7. The cell of claim 1 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.
8. The cell of claim 1 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.



9. The cell of claim 1 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

10. The cell of claim 1 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

11. The cell of claim 1 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

12. The cell of claim 1 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%.

13. The cell of claim 1 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

14. A lead-acid cell comprising a container, a positive plate and a negative plate disposed within the container, a separator disposed within the container and separating the positive and negative plates, the positive plate comprising a grid supporting structure having a layer of active material pasted thereto, the grid supporting structure comprising an alloy consisting essentially of lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%, the percentages being based upon the total weight of the alloy.

15. The cell of claim 14 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%.

16. The cell of claim 14 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%.

17. The cell of claim 14 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.

18. The cell of claim 14 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%.

19. The cell of claim 14 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.

20. The cell of claim 14 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

21. The cell of claim 14 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

22. The cell of claim 14 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

23. The cell of claim 14 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

24. The cell of claim 14 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%.

25. The cell of claim 14 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

26. A grid supporting structure for use in a lead-acid battery having a positive plate and a negative plate disposed within a container, a separator disposed within the container and separating the positive and negative plates, the grid supporting structure having a layer of active material pasted thereto, the grid supporting structure comprising an alloy consisting essentially of lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%, the percentages being based upon the total weight of the alloy.

27. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%.

28. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%.

29. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.

30. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%.

31. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%.

32. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.

33. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

34. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

35. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

36. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

37. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%.

38. The grid supporting structure of claim 26 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

39. A grid supporting structure for use in a lead-acid battery having a positive plate and a negative plate disposed within a container, a separator disposed within the container and separating the positive and negative plates, the grid supporting structure having a layer of active material pasted thereto, the grid supporting structure comprising an alloy consisting essentially of lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.015%, the percentages being based upon the total weight of the alloy.

40. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of greater than about 0.5% to less than about 1.2%.

41. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.6% to less than about 1.2%.

42. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the tin content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.8% to about 1.1%.

43. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.005% to about 0.015%.

44. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the silver content of the alloy is in the range of about 0.0005% to about 0.012%.

45. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

46. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the amount of calcium in the alloy is such that the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

47. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 15:1.

48. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein calcium is present in the alloy in the range of about 0.03% to about 0.055% and the ratio of tin to calcium is not less than 20:1.

49. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.03%.


50. The grid supporting structure of claim 39 wherein the alloy further includes aluminum in the range of about 0.012% to about 0.020%.

### Abstract



A lead acid battery cell including a positive plate or grid formed from a Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag alloy or a Pb/Ca/Sn/Ag/Al alloy is disclosed. An interaction between tin and silver in the lead alloy has been discovered which leads to the selection of optimum tin and silver levels which are substantially different than those indicated in the prior art. The described optimum tin and silver levels result in a positive grid alloy with superior mechanical properties and improved corrosion resistance which leads to superior battery life in present day SLI lead-acid battery applications. In one form, the alloy includes lead, tin in an amount greater than about 0.5%, calcium in an amount such that the ratio of tin to calcium is greater than about 12:1, and silver in the range of greater than 0 to about 0.020%, the percentages being based upon the total weight of the alloy.

4564658v3



FIG. 1



HARDNESS CURVES FOR 2 ALLOYS; A) 0.042% Ca, 0.8% Sn, <20 ppm Ag, B) 0.042% Ca, 0.55% Sn, 0.030% Ag.











FIG. 6

— CORROSION (CO)  
 - - - MAX. HARDNESS (MH)  
 ····· HARDENING RATE (HR)

CO +  
MH +  
HR +






FIG. 8

+




FIG. 9

+


# Figure 10

## GRID CRACKING STUDY - 0.8% TIN

All alloys contain fixed 0.8% Sn

Ag-Content (%)	Grids with Cracks (%)
0.012	6.0
0.013	12.0
0.0144	18.0
0.0174	32.0
0.0197	38.0
0.022	44.0
0.0295	43.4

### GRID CRACKS VS SILVER CONTENT



# Figure 11


## GRID CRACKING STUDY - 1.1% TIN

All alloys contain fixed 1.1% Sn

Ag-Content  
(%)      Grids with  
            Cracks (%)


0.0072	5
0.0102	2
0.0125	9
0.0152	10
0.0174	19
0.0194	21
0.0228	29

### GRID CRACKS VS SILVER CONTENT



# Figure 12

Bare grid corrosion test (60°C, d=1.10 g/cm<sup>3</sup>)  
influence of tin to 100ppm silver alloy on intergranular corrosion





Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box ☐

<b>0010/PTO</b> Rev 6/95  <b>U.S. Department of Commerce</b> Patent and Trademark Office  <b>DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted with Initial Filing      OR <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted after Initial Filing	Attorney Docket Number	510553.92128
	First Named Inventor	Juergen Bauer, <i>et al.</i>
	<b>COMPLETE IF KNOWN</b>	
	Application Number	
	Filing Date	Filed Herewith
	Group Art Unit	
Examiner Name		

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## ALLOY FOR BATTERY GRIDS

(Title of the Invention)

the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto

OR

☐ was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY)

as United States Application Number or PCT International

Application Number

and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY)

(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations § 1.56

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code § 119(a)-(d) or § 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or § 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached?	
			<input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Additional foreign applications numbers are listed on a supplemental priority sheet attached hereto:

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number(s)	Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional provisional application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority sheet attached hereto.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take .4 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box ☐

# DECLARATION

Page 2

I hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code §120 of any United States application(s), or §365(C) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application or PCT international application in the manner provided in the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. Parent Application Number	PCT Parent Number	Parent Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)
09/105,162		06/26/1998	
09/337,830		06/22/1999	6,117,594
09/627,522		07/28/2000	

☐ Additional U.S. or PCT international application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority sheet attached hereto

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and all continuation and divisional applications based thereon, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

☐ Firm Name  Customer or label Number   
OR  
☒ List attorney(s) and/or agent(s) name and registration number below

Name	Registration Number	Name	Registration Number
Herbert W. Mylius	24,578	Bruce Neel	37,406
Barry E. Sammons	25,608	Michael A. Jaskolski	37,551
Charles Jirauch	26,186	Richard T. Roche	38,599
Nicholas J. Seay	27,386	Alexander Ching	41,669
George E. Haas	27,642	Terri S. Flynn	41,756
Michael J. McGovern	28,326	John T. Pienkos	42,997
Carl R. Schwartz	29,437	Daniel G. Radler	43,028
Keith M. Baxter	31,233	Gregory M. Smith	43,136
John D. Franzini	31,356	Steven J. Wietrzny	44,402
Janine Novat	32,593	Paul D. Amrozowicz	45,264
Jean C. Baker	35,433	David M. Kettner	45,589
David G. Ryser	36,407	Adam J. Forman	46,707
Bennett J. Berson	37,094		

☐ Additional attorney(s) and/or agents named on a supplemental priority sheet attached hereto

Please direct all correspondence to ☐ Customer Number or label OR ☒ Fill in correspondence

Name David G. Ryser  
Address Quarles & Brady LLP  
Address 411 East Wisconsin Ave. Suite 2040  
City Milwaukee State WI Zip 53202-4497  
Country USA Telephone 414) 277-5000 Fax 414) 271-3552

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Name of Sole or First Inventor:  A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name Juergen Middle Initial Family Name Bauer Suffix e.g. Jr.

Inventor's Signature Date

Residence: Hildesheim State Country Germany Citizenship Germany

Post Office

Post Office Sensburger Ring 57

City Hildesheim State Zip D - 31141 Country Germany Applicant Authority

☒ Additional inventors are being named on supplemental sheet(s) attached hereto

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box ☐

DECLARATION										ADDITIONAL INVENTOR(S) Supplemental Sheet					
Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:										A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor					
Given Name	M. Eric			Middle Initial			Family Name	Taylor			Suffix e.g. Jr.				
Inventor's Signature											Date				
Residence:		Shorewood			State	WI		Country	US		Citizenship	US			
Post Office															
Post Office		4242 N. Larkin Street													
City	Shorewood			State	WI		Zip	53211		Country	US		Applicant Authority		
Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:										A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor					
Given Name	Albert			Middle Initial			Family Name	Toennesen			Suffix e.g. Jr.				
Inventor's Signature											Date				
Residence:		Bergisch Gladbach			State			Country	Germany		Citizenship	Germany			
Post Office		Schuetzheider Weg 10													
Post Office															
City	Bergisch Gladbach			State			Zip	51465		Country	Germany		Applicant Authority		
Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:										A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor					
Given Name				Middle Initial			Family Name				Suffix e.g. Jr.				
Inventor's Signature											Date				
Residence:					State			Country			Citizenship				
Post Office															
Post Office															
City				State			Zip			Country			Applicant Authority		
Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:										A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor					
Given Name				Middle Initial			Family Name				Suffix e.g. Jr.				
Inventor's Signature											Date				
Residence:					State			Country			Citizenship				
Post Office															
Post Office															
City				State			Zip			Country			Applicant Authority		
Additional inventors are being named on supplemental sheet(s) attached hereto															